IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 6044 of 2005
Date of decision: 10.9.2007

Maha Ram **...Petitioner**

Versus

Chhaju Ram **...Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

****

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

By filing the present revision petition under Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the petitioner/defendant has sought to challenge the judgment and decree of the Courts below whereby suit for recovery of Rs. 21,300/- filed by the respondent/plaintiff was decreed.

It was concurrently found by both the Courts below that the petitioner/defendant had taken loan from the respondent/plaintiff, which was duly proved with the evidence produced on record. The defendant could not dislodge in any manner whatsoever the evidence led by the respondent/plaintiff whereby it was proved that petitioner/defendant raised a loan of Rs. 10,000/- carrying interest at the rate of 2% per month and after one year the outstanding balance in the account was Rs. 12,400/-, which was duly proved.

With these facts on record, there is no error of jurisdiction having been pointed out in the concurrent findings of fact by both the Courts below, I do not find any merit in the present petition and the same is dismissed in limine.

September 10, 2007 **(Rajesh Bindal)**
Pka **Judge**